



Khoutal Taqi &lt;taqi.khoutal@gmail.com&gt;

## [ISMEE 2021] Your paper #1570765367 ('Attendance System on Moving Objects through Face Recognition using MTCNN and CNN')

2 pesan

ISMEE 2021 &lt;ismee2021-chairs@edas.info&gt;

19 Oktober 2021 pukul 20.53

Kepada: Susetyo Bagas Bhaskoro &lt;susetyo.bagas@gmail.com&gt;, Siti Aminah &lt;siti@ae.polman-bandung.ac.id&gt;, Khoutal Taqi &lt;taqi.khoutal@gmail.com&gt;

Dear Dr. Susetyo Bagas Bhaskoro:

Congratulations - your paper #1570765367 ('Attendance System on Moving Objects through Face Recognition using MTCNN and CNN') for ISMEE 2021 has been **accepted** and will be presented in the session titled \_\_\_.

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://www.edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570765367>.

### Review 1

**Comments to Authors: Please highlight to the authors the strengths and weaknesses of their paper and justify your assessment. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if it is accepted.**

-The abstract should consist of implication. -The paper does not state the gap of the study. -Add more gap from existing literature (specific contribution) and the scope of the study. What is new from this research. -Your paper does not have any discussion. Please include discussion and don't forget to use references. in the meantime, you are only presenting findings. -Data from figure or table should be clearly presented. -Since this is international conference, the authors must choose international references as well. So, please eliminate Indonesia references.

**Relevance and Timeliness: Please rate the relevance of the paper to the conference, and the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research.**

Average (3)

**Novelty and Originality: Please rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper**

Average (3)

**Technical Content and Correctness: Please rate the technical contents of the paper, its soundness and scientific rigour**

Average (3)

**Quality of Presentation: Please rate the quality of presentation including (i)paper organization, (ii)clearness of text and figures,(iii)completeness and accuracy of references, and (iv)correct usage of English**

Average (3)

**Overall Recommendation: Please indicate your overall recommendation**

Marginal (3)

### Review 2

**Comments to Authors: Please highlight to the authors the strengths and weaknesses of their paper and justify your assessment. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if it is accepted.**

- The topic presented has an interesting point where the research conducted discusses the attendance system on moving objects through face recognition using MTCNN and CNN. However, the novelty and originality of the research conducted have not been described.
- the abstract section is too short. the abstract section should contain the introduction, methods, and results obtained.
- need to add references related to equation (2)-(5)

- Display of Figs. 10-12, and Fig. 14 is not clear.
- the conclusion section is too short. The conclusion section can be made into one or two paragraphs by discussing the results obtained from the experiments that have been carried out.
- Reference writing from the website can be seen in the IEEE rules -Article margins need to be adjusted to the applicable format (left=1in, right=1in, bottom=1in, top=0.75in)
- remove the footer section XXX-X-XXXX-XXXX-X/XX/\$XX.00 ©20XX IEEE on page 1.

**Relevance and Timeliness: Please rate the relevance of the paper to the conference, and the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research.**

Average (3)

**Novelty and Originality: Please rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper**

Average (3)

**Technical Content and Correctness: Please rate the technical contents of the paper, its soundness and scientific rigour**

Average (3)

**Quality of Presentation: Please rate the quality of presentation including (i)paper organization, (ii)clearness of text and figures,(iii)completeness and accuracy of references, and (iv)correct usage of English**

Below Average (2)

**Overall Recommendation: Please indicate your overall recommendation**

Accept (4)

Regards,  
The conference chairs

---

**ISMEE 2021** <ismee2021-chairs@edas.info>


19 Oktober 2021 pukul 20.54

Kepada: Susetyo Bagas Bhaskoro <susetyo.bagas@gmail.com>, Siti Aminah <siti@ae.polman-bandung.ac.id>, Khoutal Taqi <taqi.khoutal@gmail.com>

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



ISMEE 2021



#53 (1570765367): Attendance System on Moving Objects through Face Recognition using MTCNN and CNN

## #53 (1570765367): Attendance System on Moving Objects through Face Recognition using MTCNN and CNN

[Hide details](#)

BibTeX

### Authors

Drag to change order	Author name	Author affiliation (edit for paper)	Author email	Email	Delete
☰	Susetyo Bagas Bhaskoro	Politeknik Manufaktur Bandung, Indonesia	susetyo.bagas@gmail.com	✉	✂
☰	Siti Aminah	Politeknik Manufaktur Bandung, Indonesia	siti@ae.polman-bandung.ac.id	✉	✂
☰	Khoutal Taqi	Politeknik Manufaktur Bandung, Indonesia	taqi.khoutal@gmail.com	✉	✂



**Paper title** *Attendance System on Moving Objects through Face Recognition using MTCNN and CNN*

**Conference and track** **the 3rd International Symposium on Materials and Electrical Engineering 2021**  
- Full papers

**Abstract** Face detection and recognition using the eigenfaces method accuracy is decreased when there are...

**Keywords** attendance system; MTCNN; CNN; VGG16; face detection; facial image recognition Only the chairs can edit

**Topics** Computing and processing

**Personal notes**

**Roles** You are an author and a presenter for this paper.  
You have authored an accepted paper in this conference.

**Status** Accepted

**Copyright** file uploaded: Oct 28, 2021 18:42 Asia/Pontianak

**Presented** by [Khoutal Taqi \(bio\)](#)

### Final manuscript



## Review

Actions	Relevance and Timeliness		Novelty and Originality		Technical Content and Correctness		Quality of Presentation		Overall Recommendation	
	Average	3	Average	3	Average	3	Average	3	Marginal	3
completed	Average	3	Average	3	Average	3	Average	3	Marginal	3

### Comments to Authors

- The abstract should consist of implication.
- The paper does not state the gap of the study.
- Add more gap from existing literature (specific contribution) and the scope of the study. What is new from this research.
- Your paper does not have any discussion. Please include discussion

<b>Relevance and Timeliness</b>	<b>Novelty and Originality</b>	<b>Technical Content and Correctness</b>	<b>Quality of Presentation</b>	<b>Overall Recommendation</b>	
<p>and don't forget to use references. in the meantime, you are only presenting findings.</p> <p>-Data from figure or table should be clearly presented.</p> <p>-Since this is international conference, the authors must choose international references as well. So, please eliminate Indonesia references.</p>					
<b>completed</b>	Average <b>3</b>	Average <b>3</b>	Average <b>3</b>	Below Average <b>2</b>	Accept <b>4</b>

### Comments to Authors

- The topic presented has an interesting point where the research conducted discusses the attendance system on moving objects through face recognition using MTCNN and CNN. However, the novelty and originality of the research conducted have not been described.
- the abstract section is too short. the abstract section should contain the introduction, methods, and results obtained.
- need to add references related to equation (2)-(5)
- Display of Figs. 10-12, and Fig. 14 is not clear.
- the conclusion section is too short. The conclusion section can be made into one or two paragraphs by discussing the results obtained from the experiments that have been carried out.
- Reference writing from the website can be seen in the IEEE rules
- Article margins need to be adjusted to the applicable format (left=1in, right=1in, bottom=1in, top=0.75in)
- remove the footer section XXX-X-XXXX-XXXX-X/XX/\$XX.00 ©20XX IEEE on page 1.